This document is a Request for Proposal (“RFP”) for Data Integration Software.

The State Bar is seeking proposals for implementing a configurable integration platform in order to implement new CMS (Case Management System) systems while maintaining the present legacy applications and functionality. Contract term desired is for a period of one year, with an option to renew for an additional one-year term.

Please submit 7 copies of your proposal no later than 4 p.m. on October 26, 2012 to:

The State Bar of California  
180 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105-1639  

Attn: Cathy Hamilton  
Information Technology  
415-538-2236  
Cathy.Hamilton@calbar.ca.gov

I. INTRODUCTION

The State Bar of California (“the State Bar”) created in 1927 by the Legislature and adopted into the California Constitution in 1960, is a public corporation within the judicial branch of state government. The primary purpose of the State Bar is to serve as an administrative adjunct to the California Supreme Court in all matters pertaining to the admission, discipline, and regulation of California lawyers. The State Bar Act and California court rules vest in the State Bar the duty to regulate the legal profession, formulate and elevate educational and professional standards, raise the quality of legal services, advance the science of jurisprudence, and aid in the improvement of the administration of justice.

The State Bar is a unified, or integrated bar, and membership is mandatory for all attorneys who are licensed to practice law in the state. In addition to its mandated licensing and disciplinary and certification functions, the State Bar offers a number of other programs designed to assist, educate and protect its members and the public. The State Bar’s programs are financed primarily by fees paid by attorneys and applicants to
practice law. The State Bar has over 230,000 members, making it the largest unified state bar in the country. The State Bar has offices located in Los Angeles and San Francisco. For more than 80 years, The State Bar of California has shaped the development of the law, regulated the professional conduct of the state’s lawyers and provided greater access to the justice system for all citizens. More information about the organization can be found at http://www.calbar.ca.gov/AboutUs.aspx.

II. STATEMENT OF WORK

A. Objectives

The State Bar is interested in implementing a configurable integration platform in order to implement new CMS (Case Management System) systems while maintaining the present legacy applications and functionality. The State Bar Information Technology Director and team has determined that it will implement a data integration program in phases starting with an initial smaller phase to become acclimated and familiar with the new technology.

This initial data integration activity is intended to establish the foundation upon which the State Bar can build a full and comprehensive data integration program over the next several years. The vendor should be prepared to show where they can reference successful implementations of a data integration program in entities comparable in size as the State Bar.

The State Bar is interested in identifying a vendor who is best able to position us for short term success in getting this program formally implemented and for long term success that leads to data integration practices that become a part of the State Bar’s culture.

The State Bar sees the key to any data integration as being driven by business processes and Information Technology application owners. One of the objectives of the program is to develop a strategy for engaging the IT application owners. The IT application owners will receive education on what using data integration architecture means and the benefits of using an architectural approach. The architecture needs to be defined to the IT owners in IT terms that they understand. The program will link the business and technical architecture. The business architecture must direct the technical architecture. A critical factor for the success of this program is the establishment of an effective data integration governance structure and processes early in the implementation of the State Bar’s data integration program.

Coupled with the governance structure, the data integration architecture program will facilitate work efforts and establish processes and guidelines that enable the State Bar to consistently and collaboratively address:

1. Functional duplication, data duplication, integration, interoperability, scalability and reuse issues
2. Use of existing technologies as efficiently as possible

3. Deployment of common service delivery mechanisms

4. Provide direct linkage between the first two pilot Case Management Systems business drivers and technology standards. Such linkages shall be easily comprehensible to both technical and non-technical staff. A primary objective of the program is to begin with the development of CMS, pilot business architecture for the State Bar. This business architecture should be used to drive the rest of the architectural effort.

5. Provide the State Bar with business and technical architectural roadmaps and guidelines that are highly pragmatic and which will, over time, integrate existing disparate business processes and IT systems leading to reductions in total technology spending, and provide a platform for next-generation services and systems.

B. Core Architecture Principles

The Vendor shall address the following core principles in crafting their proposal to the RFP:

1. Define Integration Technology architectures and standards that are driven by and responsive to evolving State Bar business needs.

2. Provide a stable infrastructure to meet customer expectations of availability anytime, anywhere.

3. Facilitate seamless electronic access to information and services.

4. Provide an IT dashboard that allows IT personnel to view all data integration traffic for a given period.

C. Background

The State Bar IT project team used a variety of tools to document the State Bar’s current architecture. The team created systems diagrams on the following pages describing how key enterprise systems relate to each other. The team conducted a series of interviews within the Information Technology department designed to highlight and document the membership application requirements across the State Bar’s enterprise. One of the main issues at the State Bar is that there are different applications that share membership data and pass membership information back and forth between these applications.

Key Themes that emerged during IT planning meetings conducted to document the current architecture are listed below:
1. Current membership updates of data are written in RPG code.

2. When new Case Management Systems are added, it is unknown how difficult it will be to maintain relational data integrity across the enterprise.

3. When membership data changes in membership tables, real time changes must be made in other tables that hold membership information.

4. A new tool must be easy enough for current staff to learn and come up to speed quickly.

5. A pilot CMS (Case Management System) project has been selected to start an integration initiative.

6. The tool selected must have capabilities to integrate with DB2 and SQL Server and have listeners already created that reside on the IBM iSeries.

7. The integration tool selected must have the ability to have pre-defined functions for data scrubbing and cleaning of data.

8. The integration tool selected should run in a Microsoft Windows environment.

9. Although there are various iSeries applications that run the State Bar’s Enterprise, only two key Case Management Applications are in scope for the initial pilot.

D. The State Bar Systems

(See Attachment D: Visual Computing Environment)

1. **Current State**: Most applications currently run on an IBM iSeries mid-range computer. They are written in RPG accessing DB2/400 libraries. Some data is mirrored to a Microsoft SQL Server to support Internet applications. The State Bar operates two physical data centers, one in Los Angeles and the other in San Francisco, connected by a high-speed WAN link.

2. **Future State**: The State Bar data integration architecture vision should support the State Bar’s planned strategy while operating within the State Bar’s current state context. The systems depicted in the mauve/light red colors are the systems that have been selected in the initial pilot by the State Bar’s IT architecture team.

3. **Context**
.  

a. Two Main Case Management Systems at the State Bar are presently being changed and migrated to a COTS system: Office of Chief Trial Counsel and the State Bar Court. These two systems are presently applications that reside on the iSeries written in RPG and on DB2/400 databases. These applications are being replaced and will presumably be applications that reside in a Windows environment on SQL Server databases.

b. All other applications are remaining as they are and are not being changed or migrated to new systems, at this time.

c. The State Bar environment is heterogeneous and is subject to changing in the future state. This means that the tool must be adaptable and extendable to easily connect to new databases and create new services in the future via use of standard adapters.

d. The membership data repository is the main data repository that is a set of DB2/400 tables on the IBM iSeries.

E. Goals & Principles

During the State Bar integration planning sessions, a number of goals were identified.

1. Business rules and processes for updating, cleansing/scrubbing, and accessing data will be well documented.

2. The system should be flexible, scalable, extensible and easy to configure.

3. System that allows the portability of membership data between disparate systems.

4. Easy to understand business rules creation and implementing those business rules.

5. Any custom business rule should not be broken with a software system upgrade.

6. Security: applications should ensure data and access security; sensitive data must be protected in storage and in transit.

7. Real-time operation: Minimize latency of data updates.
8. Standards: promote consistency using standards: the system must use standards and stay away from any custom integrations that raise IT investment costs.

F. Deliverables

The following paragraphs outline the State Bar’s the principal tasks and deliverables the State Bar expects from the selected vendor. Vendors may propose alternative approaches, but to facilitate comparability, all proposals should include price quotations for the specific tasks and deliverables outlined here.

1. **Orientation**: Provide introductory training to State Bar IT staff to acquaint them with the principles, practices, and “state-of-the-art” of application integration and integration architecture.

   *Deliverables: Detailed training plan.*

2. **Develop Governance, Practices & Policies Document**: Facilitate work-sessions with IT management and staff to define governance, best practices, and policies regarding application integration suitable to the State Bar’s structure, environment, and needs. Distill the results of these sessions into a draft Governance, Practices & Policies document to guide current and future integration efforts. Facilitate the process of revision and finalization of the document.


3. **Develop Application Integration Architecture & Roadmap**: Facilitate work-sessions with IT management and staff to develop an understanding of current applications and their interactions. Document existing application integration points and their characteristics. Work with staff to develop a sound, robust “future” application integration architecture along with a roadmap depicting a practical path from the current situation to the “future” architecture, taking into account the expected timeline of application replacement.

   *Deliverables: Integration roadmap.*

4. **Integration Platform Training**: Provide training to State Bar IT staff regarding the installation, configuration, maintenance and operation of the proposed integration platform (software). Assist IT staff in the initial installation and basic configuration of the integration platform.

   *Deliverables: Implementation project plan.*
5. **Extended Training & Pilot Interface #1 Implementation:** Work with the OCTC Case Management System implementation team to define the requirements for the interface between the CMS and the legacy Member Records application. Provide training to IT staff in the specific techniques and tools needed to implement the requirements using the proposed integration platform. Assist and coach IT staff in the creation, configuration, testing, and deployment of the pilot integration. Train IT staff in diagnostic and trouble-shooting techniques throughout the process.

   **Deliverables:** Fully functional integration between OCTC CMS and Membership.

6. **Extended Training & Pilot Interface #2 Implementation:** Work with the State Bar Court Case Management System implementation team to define requirements for the interface between the CMS and the legacy Member Records application. Provide training to IT staff in the specific techniques and tools needed to implement the requirements using the proposed integration platform. Assist and coach IT staff in creation, configuration, testing, and deployment of the second pilot integration. Train IT staff in diagnostic and trouble-shooting techniques throughout the process.

   **Deliverables:** Fully functional integration between State Bar Court CMS and Membership.

### III. GENERAL INFORMATION

The submission requirements for this RFP are set forth below. A proposal shall constitute an irrevocable offer for 60 business days following the deadline for its submission. Reference to a certain number of days in this RFP shall mean business days unless otherwise specified.

Contact with State Bar personnel in connection with this RFP may not be made other than as specified in this RFP. Unauthorized direct or indirect contact with any State Bar personnel may be cause for rejection of a bid.

**A. Submission Requirements**

To be considered responsive, a proposal must contain the following, prefaced by a table of contents, referenced by number and in the order below. Proposals may include parts of the original RFP if answering questions asked or used in tailoring a specific response, but should not be included in full unaltered form for filler. If specific submission requirements are particularly large and self-contained (i.e., annual reports, 10-k, users’ guide, etc.) they may be included in a separate appendix. Proposals should not direct the evaluation team to visit online sources.
to obtain information, or include *to be provided after award*, or *provided upon request* clauses.

1. A brief description of the history and organization of the bidder’s firm, and of any proposed subcontractor.

2. Copies of business licenses, professional certifications or other credentials, together with evidence that bidder, if a corporation, is in good standing and qualified to conduct business in California.

3. The most recent year’s annual reports, or comparable document, including detailed current profit and loss, assets and liabilities, and other relevant financial data. Bidders must submit Attachment B: Vendor History Questionnaire electronically in native .xls format per instructions below.

4. A description of at least three (3) similar projects completed by the bidder within the past three (3) years. Include personal references with contact information for each.

5. Qualifications, background and experience of the project director and other staff proposed to work on the project.

6. A general description of the techniques, approaches and methods to be used in completing the project, including a completed Data Integration Questionnaire.

7. A description of the chronology for completing the work, including a timeline and deadlines for each task.

8. A detailed cost proposal, including any travel costs and other expenses. Bidders must submit Attachment A: Itemized Cost Proposal electronically in native .xls format per instructions below. If necessary, contractors’ travel expenses will be reimbursed in accordance with the public [Travel and Business-Related Expense Policy](#). It is unlawful for any person engaged in business within this state to sell or use any article or product as a “loss leader” as defined in [Section 17030](#) of the Business and Professions Code. As the State Bar may award a contract based on the initial offer, a bidder should make its initial offer on the most favorable terms available. The State Bar reserves the right, however, to have discussions with those bidders falling within a competitive range, and to request revised pricing offers from them and to make an award or conduct negotiations thereafter.

9. A written acknowledgement of the acceptance of the Contracting Requirements set forth in section IV of this RFP. Specific terms may be
reserved for future negotiation, but must be clearly identified and reasons
given for the reservation.

B. Submission Requirements Format Summary

Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a
straightforward and concise description of the Vendor’s ability to meet the
requirements of this RFP. Emphasis should be on completeness and clarity of
content.

1. Deliver 7 physical hardcopies to the attention of Cathy Hamilton, no later
than 4 p.m. October 26, 2012.

2. Each set should include all attachments requested, including copies of the
electronic attachments itemized below.

3. Deliver electronically with above (one set--CD-ROM, DVD, or USB flash
drive) in native unlocked format as noted below:

   a. Attachment A: Itemized Cost Proposal (.xlsx)

   b. Attachment B: Vendor History Questionnaire (.xlsx)

   c. Attachment C: Accessibility Standards Compliance Matrix (.xlsx)

Proposals that fail to address each of the submission requirements above may be
deemed non-responsive and will not be further considered. The State Bar, solely
upon its own discretion, will judge vendors on their overall compliance, and may
judge a vendor to be materially compliant, even if that vendor is non-compliant to
a particular requirement of the RFP. Note that responses to questions must be
specifically answered within the context of the submitted proposal. The State
Bar’s evaluation team will not refer to a designated web site, brochure, or other
location for the requested information. Responses that utilize references to
external materials as an answer will be considered non-responsive.

C. Rejection of Proposals

The State Bar reserves the right in its sole discretion to reject any or all proposals
in whole or in part, without incurring any cost or liability whatsoever. All
proposals will be reviewed for completeness of the submission requirements. If a
proposal fails to meet a material requirement of the RFP, or if it is incomplete or
contains irregularities, the proposal may be rejected. A deviation is material to
the extent that a proposal is not in substantial accord with RFP requirements.

Immaterial deviations may cause a bid to be rejected. The State Bar may or may
not waive an immaterial deviation or defect in a proposal. The State Bar's waiver
of an immaterial deviation or defect will in no way modify the RFP or excuse a bidder from full compliance with the RFP requirements.

Any proposal may be rejected where it is determined to be not really competitive, or where the cost is not reasonable.

Proposals that contain false or misleading statements may be rejected if in the State Bar's opinion the information was intended to mislead the State Bar regarding a requirement of the RFP.

D. Evaluation Process and Highest Scored Bidder

An evaluation team will review, in detail, all proposals that are received to determine the Highest Scored Bidder ("HSB").

Following the initial review and screening of the written Proposals, using the selection criteria described below, several bidders may be invited to participate in the final selection process, which may include participation in an oral interview and/or submission of any additional information as requested by the State Bar.

The State Bar reserves the right to determine the suitability of proposals on the basis of a proposal's meeting administrative requirements, technical requirements, the review team's assessment of the quality and performance of the equipment and services proposed, and cost.

During the evaluation process, the State Bar may require a bidder’s representative to answer questions with regard to the proposal and/or require certain bidders to make a formal presentation to the evaluation team and/or the State Bar Senior Executive Team. The State Bar may also have discussions with those bidders falling within a competitive range, request revised pricing offers from such bidders, and make an award and/or conduct negotiations thereafter.

This Request for Proposal does not commit the State Bar to awarding a Contract. Bidders shall bear all costs incurred in the preparation of the Proposal and participating in the Proposal evaluation process. The State Bar reserves the right to reject any and all Proposals, to accept the Proposal it considers most favorable in its sole discretion, and to waive minor irregularities. The State Bar further reserves the right to seek new Proposals when such procedure is considered by it to be in the best interest of the State Bar.

1. The following criteria will be used in reviewing and comparing the proposals and in determining the HSB. The weight to be assigned to each criterion appears following each item.

   a. Responsiveness of the proposal to the submission requirements set forth in the RFP (10%).
b. Agreement with the State Bar’s contracting requirements (10%).

c. The technical ability, capacity, and flexibility of the bidder to perform the contract in a timely manner and on budget, as verified by, e.g., the quality of any demonstration, client references, demonstrated success in projects with similar requirements and any other contracts with the State Bar (50%).

d. The total cost of the proposal solution. If the proposal contains itemized rates, per piece pricing, or commission-based pricing, the State Bar reserves the right to calculate total contracted cost by calculating rates using either previous known usage activity or future projected volume. Costs will be evaluated only if a proposal is determined to be otherwise qualified. Costs should be itemized by type to allow the State Bar to implement the solution over the term of the contract (30%).

2. If a large number of proposals are received, the State Bar reserves the right to review the proposals using a tiered evaluation system. All qualified proposals will be evaluated based on the Submission Requirements and Cost, with the top candidates advancing as finalists and receiving a full evaluation as outlined above.

E. Award and Execution of Contract

Subject to the State Bar’s right to reject any or all proposals, the HSB will be awarded the contract. Notice will be posted at the State Bar’s offices at 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA and written notice sent to bidders on or about November 16, 2012 of the Bar’s intention to award the contract to the HSB. It is anticipated that final selection of the HSB will be made by November 23, 2012. The evaluation team will select a winning proposal subject to approval by the Board of Trustees. Upon selection, the State Bar and the selected Vendor will enter into good faith negotiations on a contract containing, without limitation, the Statement of Work and Contracting Requirements sections below.

No contract or agreement, express or implied, shall exist or be binding on the State Bar before the execution of a written contract by both parties. If agreement on the terms of such a contract cannot be reached after a period deemed reasonable by the State Bar in its sole discretion, the State Bar may enter into negotiations and sign a contract with any other bidder who submitted timely, responsive and responsible proposals to this RFP.

If, after the State Bar and the HSB agree to terms and execute a contract, that contract is terminated for any reason, the State Bar may, in its sole discretion,
either enter into negotiations with the next highest scored bidder, or issue a new RFP and begin the proposal process anew.

Questions regarding the State Bar’s award of any business on the basis of proposals submitted in response to the RFP, or on any other matter in connection with the selection process, should be addressed in writing to andrew.conover@calbar.ca.gov.

Where written notice is required in this RFP, the notice must be sent by U.S. mail and either facsimile or e-mail.

F. Errors in the RFP

If a bidder discovers any ambiguity, conflict, discrepancy, omission, or other error in the RFP, the bidder should immediately provide the State Bar with written notice of the problem and request that the RFP be clarified or modified. Without disclosing the source of the request, the State Bar may modify the document prior to the date fixed for submission of proposals by issuing an addendum to all potential bidders to whom the RFP was sent.

If prior to the date fixed for submissions, a bidder knows of or should have known of an error in the RFP but fails to notify the State Bar of the error, the bidder shall bid at its own risk, and if, awarded the contract, shall not be entitled to additional compensation or time by reason of the error or its later correction.

G. Questions Regarding the RFP

Questions regarding the RFP may be addressed in writing to Cathy Hamilton at Cathy.Hamilton@calbar.ca.gov. All questions must be submitted no later than 7 days prior to the date for submission of proposals. Questions and answers regarding the RFP may be shared with all bidders known to be interested in submitting a proposal.

If a question relates to a proprietary aspect of its proposal and the question would expose proprietary information if disclosed to competitors, the bidder may submit the question in writing, conspicuously marking it as "CONFIDENTIAL." With the question, the bidder must submit a statement explaining why the question is sensitive. If the State Bar concurs that the disclosure of the question or answer would expose proprietary information, the question will be answered, and both the question and answer will be kept in confidence. If the State Bar does not concur regarding the proprietary nature of the question, the question will not be answered in this manner and the bidder will be notified.

A bidder, who believes that one or more of the RFP’s requirements is onerous or unfair, or unnecessarily precludes less costly or alternative solutions, may submit a written request that the RFP be changed. The request must set forth the
recommended change and reason for proposing the change. The State Bar must receive any such request no later than 7 days before the deadline for submitting proposals.

H. Addenda

The State Bar may modify the RFP prior to the date fixed for submission by posting, mailing, emailing or faxing an addendum to the bidders known to be interested in submitting a proposal. If any bidder determines that an addendum unnecessarily restricts its ability to bid, it must notify the State Bar in writing no later than 5 days before the deadline for submitting proposals.

I. Withdrawal and Resubmission/Modification of Proposals

A proposal may be withdrawn at any time prior to the deadline for submitting proposals by notifying the State Bar in writing of its withdrawal. The notice must be signed by the bidder. The bidder may thereafter submit a new or modified proposal, provided that it is received at the State Bar no later than the deadline. Modification offered in any other manner, oral or written, will not be considered. Proposals cannot be changed after the evaluation process begins.

J. Protest Procedure

A bidder may protest the award if it meets all the following conditions:

1. The bidder has submitted a proposal that it believes is or should have been the HSB, under the criteria set forth above;

2. The bidder believes that its proposal meets the State Bar's administrative and technical requirements, proposes services of proven quality and performance, and offers a competitive cost to the State Bar; and

3. The bidder believes that the State Bar has incorrectly selected another bidder.

A bidder qualified to protest should contact Andrew Conover, Finance Manager, (415) 538-2207, to attempt an informal resolution. If this contact is unable to resolve the protest to the bidder's satisfaction, the bidder must file a written protest within 5 days of the notice of intention to award the contract. The written protest must state the facts surrounding the issue and the reasons the bidder believes the award to be invalid. The protest must be sent by certified or registered mail or delivered personally to:

The State Bar of California
180 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-1639

Attention: Peggy Van Horn, Chief Financial Officer

Protests will be reviewed and decided by the State Bar’s Award Protest Team within 30 days after the State Bar issues written acknowledgment of the protest. In the event that a protest is filed, the contract award will be postponed pending resolution of the protest.

K. News Releases

News releases pertaining to the award of a contract may not be made without the prior written approval of the State Bar.

L. Disposition of Materials

All materials submitted in response to an RFP will become the property of the State Bar of California and will be returned only at the State Bar's option and at the expense of the bidder. One copy of each proposal will be retained for the State Bar’s official files and become a public record. Specific limited pages of a proposal, not including proposed cost and compensation, may be marked as proprietary and confidential. The entire proposal cannot be deemed confidential. The bidder’s consent will be requested before release of such confidential pages to non-State Bar personnel. By submitting a proposal, a bidder agrees to these terms and waives any right to pursue a cause of action for damages incurred as a result of the release of any information contained in a proposal.

IV. CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

Upon selection of a vendor, the terms set forth in this RFP are to be embodied in a definitive agreement containing such additional covenants and other provisions as may be mutually acceptable.

The State Bar contemplates that, in addition to the terms described above in this RFP, final agreement between the State Bar and the selected vendor will include, without limitation, the following terms. Submission of a proposal shall constitute agreement to contract on these terms, except for any term specifically reserved in the proposal for future negotiation.

A. Time of Essence

Time is of the essence with respect to Vendor's performance of the services and equipment to be provided in the final agreement.

B. Warranties and Representations
Vendor warrants and represents that it possesses such expertise, experience and resources to perform the scope of services required in a diligent, timely and professional manner consistent with the standards of the industry. Vendor represents and warrants that none of its work performed under this Agreement will infringe on the rights of third parties. Vendor will supply at all times an adequate number of well-qualified personnel to perform the work. Vendor will provide a contact person available and authorized to remedy any non-conformity with this warranty. If any of Vendor’s work is found to be infringing, Vendor will correct the work to be non-infringing at no charge to the State Bar.

C. **Equipment, Tools, Supplies**

The Vendor will supply all equipment, tools, supplies, offices, personnel, instrumentalities, transportation, support services and insurance required. The Vendor is not required to purchase, rent or hire any equipment, tools, supplies, offices, transportation, personnel, insurance or instrumentalities from the State Bar. The State Bar has no obligation whatsoever to provide any equipment, tools, supplies, offices, personnel, instrumentalities, transportation, support services or insurance required to perform services under this agreement.

D. **Indemnity Obligations of Vendor**

Vendor will indemnify and defend the State Bar (including its Board of Trustees, officers, director, agents, employees and volunteers, as the same may be constituted from time to time) from all claims, demands, damages, debt, liability, obligations, cost, expense, lien, action or cause of action (including but not limited to actual damages, fines and attorneys’ fees, whether or not litigation is actually commenced) arising out of: (i) the material breach by Vendor of any warranty, representation, term or condition made or agreed to by Vendor; (ii) all products and services prepared by or for Vendor hereunder and provided to State Bar; (iii) any claim or action for personal injury, death or otherwise involving alleged defects in Vendor’s business or any of its products or services provided to State Bar; (iv) any breach by Vendor of any statutory or regulatory obligation; (v) the actual or alleged infringement by Vendor of any patent, copyright, trademark or other proprietary right of any person or entity; and/or (vi) any act or omission of Vendor, its employees, agents or subcontractors.

E. **Insurance Obligations of Vendor**

The Vendor will provide and keep in full force and effect during the term of this agreement, at the Vendor's own cost and expense, the following insurance policies for the joint benefit of the Vendor and the State Bar, with an insurer reasonably acceptable to the State Bar:

1. Commercial general liability insurance with a general aggregate limit (other than products/completed operations) of at least Two Million Dollars
($2,000,000.00); at least One Million Dollars ($1,000,000.00) personal and advertising injury limit; at least One Million Dollars ($1,000,000.00) premises and operations limit; at least One Million Dollars ($1,000,000.00) each occurrence limit;

2. Workers' compensation coverage as required by law, together with employer liability coverage with limits of not less than One Million Dollars ($1,000,000.00) per occurrence.

3. Comprehensive automobile liability insurance covering owned, leased, hired and non-owned vehicles with at least One Million Dollars ($1,000,000.00) combined single limit.

4. Professional liability insurance with a general aggregate limit of Two Million Dollars ($2,000,000) and an occurrence limit of two Million Dollars ($2,000,000).

The Vendor will deliver to the State Bar offices at 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 Attn: General Services, true and correct copies of its insurance policies required above, and certificates of such insurance within seven (7) days of the execution of this agreement. Each such policy will name the State Bar as an additional insured and will state that the Vendor’s policy shall be primary and that any insurance carried by the State Bar shall be noncontributing with respect thereto. Each such policy will provide for thirty (30) days prior written notice to the State Bar in the event of cancellation or reduction in coverage or amount. If the Vendor fails to secure and maintain insurance policies complying with the provisions of this agreement, the State Bar may purchase the appropriate insurance policies and the Vendor will pay upon demand the cost of it to the State Bar or the State Bar may terminate this agreement. Additionally, if the Vendor assigns any portion of the duties under this agreement, each subcontractor or assignee will purchase and maintain the same insurance coverage required hereunder.

The Vendor will immediately notify the State Bar if the Vendor's commercial general liability insurance contains restrictive endorsements other than those restrictive endorsements normally included in the State of California. If the Vendor's commercial general liability insurance contains such restrictive endorsements, the Vendor shall have five (5) business days to remove said restrictions. If the Vendor is unable to do so, the State Bar may terminate this agreement, and will be required to give the Vendor no more than two (2) days' notice of such termination, anything in this agreement to the contrary notwithstanding.

F. Termination
1. **At Will.** The agreement may be terminated by the State Bar, in its sole and complete discretion, upon thirty (30) days written notice to Vendor. In the event of termination pursuant to this section, the vendor’s sole compensation will be for that portion of services performed or goods delivered up to the date of termination, together with reimbursable expenses, if any then due. Vendor will not be paid for any services, goods or reimbursable expenses associated with any work or service not specifically authorized by the State Bar.

2. **Authorization of Funds.** If the term of this agreement extends into fiscal year(s) subsequent to that in which it is signed, it is understood that the continuation of this contract is subject to the authorization of sufficient funding for such purpose by the California State Legislature. If sufficient funds are not so authorized, the parties mutually agree that the contract may be terminated or amended as appropriate in response to the reduction in funding. If the agreement is terminated, Contractor agrees to take back any affected equipment, products, software, or hardware furnished under this contract, and relieve the State Bar of any further obligation, except for the State Bar’s obligation to pay for services already performed pursuant to this agreement.

3. **Default by Vendor.** This agreement may be terminated by the State Bar upon fifteen (15) days written notice to the Vendor in the event the Vendor is in default under any of its provisions. In the event this agreement is terminated due to the default by the Vendor, the Vendor will not be entitled to receive any compensation for services performed or for any reimbursable expenses incurred, and the State Bar will have the right to have the services completed by other parties and the Vendor will reimburse the State Bar for the actual costs to complete the services in excess of the balance of the fee and reimbursable expenses, if any, provided for in this agreement. Any such act by the State Bar will not be deemed a waiver of any other right or remedy of the State Bar, including, without limitation, the State Bar's right to consequential damages caused directly or indirectly by the Vendor's default.

4. **Automatic Termination.** This agreement will automatically terminate on the occurrence of any of the following events: (a) bankruptcy or insolvency of either party; (b) sale of the business of either party; (c) failure to comply with federal, state or local laws, regulations or requirements, or (d) expiration of the agreement.

G. **Confidentiality and Publicity**

The Vendor will retain all information provided by the State Bar in the strictest confidence and will neither use it nor disclose it to anyone other than employees requiring the information to perform services under this agreement without the
prior written consent of the State Bar. The State Bar retains the right to enjoin any unauthorized disclosure in an appropriate court of law. The Vendor will not issue any public announcements concerning the State Bar without the prior written consent of the State Bar.

H. Compliance with Laws

The Vendor agrees to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations, including but not limited to the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (Govt. Code, § 12900 et seq.) and any applicable regulations promulgated there under (Cal. Code of Regs., tit. 2, § 72850.0 et seq.). Vendor agrees to include the non-discrimination and compliance provisions of this clause in any and all subcontracts to perform work under the agreement.

I. Assignment/Subcontracting

1. **Assignment.** The Vendor will not assign or transfer its interest, in whole or in part, under this agreement, without the written consent of the State Bar, which consent may be granted or withheld in the sole and absolute discretion of the State Bar.

2. **Subcontracting.** The Vendor may subcontract with other qualified firms or individuals as required to complete all, or a portion of, the delivery of equipment and services, with the prior written approval of the State Bar. The Vendor will clearly describe the reason for using any subcontractors, the specific role each subcontractor will play in the project, and the relationship between the Vendor and its subcontractor to be maintained during the term of this agreement. No subcontract will be approved unless the Vendor provides a written guarantee that the Vendor's firm will be contractually obligated to assume all project responsibilities and the insurance requirements set forth above.

J. General Provisions

1. **Force Majeure.** Neither party will be deemed in default of this agreement or any provision hereunder to the extent that any delay or failure in the performance of the obligations of such party (other than the payment of money) results from any significant and material causes beyond its reasonable control and without fault or negligence by such party. Examples of such causes include, but are not limited to, (a) acts of God or public enemy, (b) acts of the government in either its sovereign or contractual capacity, (c) fires, (d) floods, (e) epidemics, (f) quarantine restrictions, (g) strikes, (h) embargoes, (i) earthquakes, and (j) unusually severe weather.
2. **Governing Law.** The agreement will be governed by the laws of the State of California without giving effect to its principles of conflict of laws.

3. **Attorneys' Fees.** In the event either party institutes any action or proceeding against the other party relating to this agreement, the unsuccessful party in such action or proceeding will reimburse the successful party for its disbursements incurred and for its reasonable attorneys’ fees as fixed by the court. In addition to the foregoing award of attorneys’ fees to the successful party, the successful party in any lawsuit will be entitled to its attorneys’ fees and costs incurred in any post-judgment proceedings to collect or enforce the judgment. This provision is separate and will survive the merger of this provision into any judgment on this agreement.

4. **Audit.** Vendor agrees that the State Bar or its designee shall have the right to review and copy any records and supporting documentation pertaining to the performance of this Agreement. Vendor agrees to maintain such records for possible audit for a minimum of three (3) years after final payment, unless a longer period of records retention is stipulated. Vendor agrees to allow the State Bar or its designee access to such records during normal business hours and to allow interviews of any employees who might reasonably have information related to such records. Further, Vendor agrees to include a similar right of the State Bar or its designee to audit records and interview staff in any subcontract related to performance of this Agreement.

5. **License.** In those instances where required, the Vendor represents and warrants that the Vendor holds a license, permit or special license to perform the services pursuant to this agreement, as required by law, or employs or works under the general supervision of the holder of such license, permit or special license and shall keep and maintain all such licenses, permits or special licenses in good standing and in full force and effect at all times while the Vendor is performing the services pursuant to the agreement.