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## Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Exceptionally Well</th>
<th>Well Qualified</th>
<th>Qualified</th>
<th>Not Qualified</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qualified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Ethnicity/Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity/Race</th>
<th>Exceptionally Well</th>
<th>Well Qualified</th>
<th>Qualified</th>
<th>Not Qualified</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>61</strong></td>
<td><strong>61</strong></td>
<td><strong>36</strong></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
<td><strong>173</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The categories listed in this report are set forth in section 12011.5 (n)(4) of the Government Code.
# Areas of Legal Practice and Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas of Legal Practice and Employment</th>
<th>Exceptionally Well</th>
<th>Well Qualified</th>
<th>Qualified</th>
<th>Not Qualified</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Law</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House/Staff Counsel</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile/Family/Probate</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litigation (Trial/Appellate)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate/Transactional</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Legal Practice</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>295</td>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td></td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The totals exceed the number of applicants because candidates are allowed to mark multiple areas of legal practice and employment on the Judicial Appointment Application. A candidate's rating is reflected in each legal practice and employment area marked.
### Female Candidates - Sexual Orientation/Gender Identity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exceptionally Well</th>
<th>Well Qualified</th>
<th>Qualified</th>
<th>Not Qualified</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesbian</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>37</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td><strong>93</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Male Candidates - Sexual Orientation/Gender Identity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exceptionally Well</th>
<th>Well Qualified</th>
<th>Qualified</th>
<th>Not Qualified</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gay</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The one candidate who declined to state a gender also declined to state a sexual orientation or gender identity.
### Veteran Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Veteran Status</th>
<th>Exceptionally Well</th>
<th></th>
<th>Well Qualified</th>
<th></th>
<th>Qualified</th>
<th></th>
<th>Not Qualified</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veteran</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Veteran</td>
<td>60 98.4</td>
<td>59 96.7</td>
<td>34 94.4</td>
<td>15 100.0</td>
<td>168 97.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 1.6</td>
<td>1 1.6</td>
<td>0 -</td>
<td>0 -</td>
<td>2 1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61 1.6</td>
<td>61 1.6</td>
<td>36 100.0</td>
<td>15 100.0</td>
<td>173 100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Disability Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Status</th>
<th>Exceptionally Well</th>
<th></th>
<th>Well Qualified</th>
<th></th>
<th>Qualified</th>
<th></th>
<th>Not Qualified</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Disability</td>
<td>2 3.3</td>
<td>2 3.3</td>
<td>0 -</td>
<td>1 6.7</td>
<td>5 2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 1.6</td>
<td>0 -</td>
<td>0 -</td>
<td>0 -</td>
<td>1 0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61 1.6</td>
<td>61 1.6</td>
<td>36 100.0</td>
<td>15 100.0</td>
<td>173 100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>