

**State Bar Court Case Filings
2008**

CASE DESCRIPTION	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	YTD
DISCIPLINARY CASES													
Conviction referral	7	8	6										21
Rule 110 violation	3	3											6
Other jurisdiction 6049.1	1	1	1										3
Rule 9.20 violation (formerly 955)	2	2	3										7
Original discipline	23	20	31										74
Probation revocation - Motion		1	4										5
DISCIPLINARY TOTAL	36	35	45										116
REGULATORY CASES													
Arbitration Enforcement													
Contempt Proceedings													
Legal service Trust Fund													
Law corporations													
Moral character	1	4	1										6
Reinstatement		1	1										2
Legal specialization													
Rule 662, Rules of Procedure													
Inactive 6007(b)(1)													
Inactive 6007(c)													
Inactive 6007 (b)(2)													
Inactive 6007(b)(3)													
Inactive 6007(h)													
Standard 1.4(c)(ii)	1		1										2
Reactive Fee Arb Enforcement	1												1
Reactive 6007(b)(1)													
Reactive 6007(c)													
Reactive 6007 (b)(2)													
Reactive 6007(b)(3)													
REGULATORY TOTAL	3	5	3										11
SUBTOTAL	39	40	48										127
Resignation with charges pending	1	2	8										11
TOTAL CASES FILED	40	42	56										138

**Pendency of open matters before the
State Bar Court 2008**

JAN		
Judge	No. of matters	Average no. of days pending
1	73	232
2	72	408
3	53	198
4	84	243
5	86	358
Pro Tem		
	368	297

FEB		
Judge	No. of matters	Average no. of days pending
1	69	238
2	71	390
3	53	218
4	84	250
5	86	375
Pro Tem		
	363	302

MAR		
Judge	No. of matters	Average no. of days pending
1	74	229
2	64	335
3	60	239
4	83	263
5	78	380
Pro Tem		
	359	290

**Alternative Discipline Program Matters
2008**

**Number of
Cases involved in
Program at end**

Month	of Month
Jan	374
Feb	364
Mar	367
Apr	
May	
Jun	
Jul	
Aug	
Sep	
Oct	
Nov	
Dec	

**Disposition of State Bar Court
Hearing matters
2008**

JAN				
Judge Assigned	Decisions	Final Disposing Orders	Stipulations	Total
1	9	1	1	11
2	15		4	19
3	3	2		5
4	2		4	6
5	9	2	2	13
				0
JAN TOTALS	38	5	11	54

FEB				
Judge Assigned	Decisions	Final Disposing Orders	Stipulations	Total
1	4		2	6
2	9	3	6	18
3	1	2		3
4	1	3	2	6
6	2	1	6	9
				0
FEB TOTALS	17	9	16	42

MAR				
Judge Assigned	Decisions	Final Disposing Orders	Stipulations	Total
1	3		4	7
2	4	2	2	8
3	5	3	1	9
4	4	5	2	11
5	9	2	3	14
MAR TOTALS	25	12	12	49

Year-To-Date Totals				
Judge Assigned	Decisions	Final Disposing Orders	Stipulations	Total
1	16	1	7	24
2	28	5	12	45
3	9	7	1	17
4	7	8	8	23
5	20	5	11	36
Pro Tem	0	0	0	0
YTD TOTALS	80	26	39	145


State Bar Court Employees As of March 31, 2008	
Number of Positions Authorized	41
Number of Positions Filled	36

State Bar Court Budget Authorized vs. Actual (Year-to-date) as of March 31, 2008			
Budget (Actual)	\$	2,273,788.00	
Budget (Authorized)	\$	2,216,994.00	
Savings	\$	(56,794.00)	*

* The 2008 budget for the State Bar Court was prepared assuming that vacant positions existing as of the date of the budget's adoption (July of 2007) would remain vacant for a period of time in 2008. For the Court, an 8% salary savings rate was factored into its salary and benefits line items. The majority of the salary savings was assumed to result from the vacancies of 2 hearing judges and several attorney positions which were not filled. Since these positions were filled before the end of 2007, the budgeted salary savings did not occur.

Alternative Discipline Program Participation

	12/31/2002	12/31/2003	12/31/2004	12/31/2005	12/31/2006	12/31/2007
Respondents	1	25	53	88	109	127
Cases	2	44	110	182	248	246



Current status of matters admitted into Alternative Discipline Program as of 4/29/08

	In Program	Successful Completion	Terminated	Still Pending
Respondents	216	45	33	138
Cases	429	67	97	265

**State Bar Court Developments
(May 2008)**

1. As of April 29, 2008, 45 respondents have successfully completed the State Bar Court's Alternative Discipline Program (ADP). At this time, there are 195 respondents who are either being evaluated by the Lawyer Assistance Program for participation in the ADP or have been accepted into the State Bar Court's Program. These 195 respondents involve 368 matters in the State Bar Court.