

# AGENDA ITEM

JULY 111 - Loan Modification  
Bills - Report of Action

**DATE:** June 25, 2009

**TO:** Board Committee on Operations  
Board of Governors

**FROM:** Cathy Torney, Committee Coordinator

**SUBJECT:** Loan Modification Bills, Report of Action Taken June 24, 2009, by the Board Committee on Operations Acting on Behalf of the Board of Governors Between Meetings

---

On June 24, 2009, the Board Committee on Operations, which has the authority to act on behalf of the Board of Governors in between regular meetings, held a meeting by conference call to discuss the above item. The emergency meeting was called per State Bar Rule 6.51(B), because the need for action on a legislative request before the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board; more specifically, one of the two related bills, on which the State Bar was requested to take a position, was scheduled to be heard on July 1 in the Legislature before the July 16 meeting of the Board.

A quorum was reached and the Board Committee voted to adopt the following resolution:

**RESOLVED**, that the Board Committee on Operations, acting on behalf of the Board of Governors between meetings, supports Senate Bill 94 (Calderon) as amended June 11, 2009, and supports Assembly Bill 764 (Nava) as amended June 22, 2009, and it is

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Board Committee, acting on behalf of the Board of Governors, authorizes the State Bar Legislative Representative, under the direction of the Executive Director, to take all steps necessary to communicate the above position to members of the legislature.

All members, except Ms. Fujie, were present. Ms. Fujie, had authorized the meeting and asked Mr. Miller to act in her place as Chair. The resolution passed 4 to 2, with Ms. Dumanis, Ms. English, Mr. Frankel and Mr. Rubin voting "Yes", and Mr. Dutton and Mr. Peterson voting "No". As Chair, Mr. Miller did not vote, but wanted noted on the record that if he had a vote, it would have been "Yes".

A copy of the agenda item is attached, which contains a resolution different from that presented by staff at the meeting, because one of the subject bills had been amended between the time the agenda item was prepared and the date of the meeting.