

**S291047**

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**REQUEST THAT THE SUPREME COURT APPROVE  
ADDITIONAL REMEDIES RELATING TO THE  
FEBRUARY 2025 CALIFORNIA BAR EXAMINATION**



PREPARED BY  
THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL  
Ellin Davtyan (238608), General Counsel  
Kirsten Galler (227171), Deputy General Counsel  
Jean Krasilnikoff (280450), Assistant General Counsel

180 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 538-2369

845 South Figueroa Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
Telephone: (213) 765-1000

Facsimile: (415) 538-2321  
Email: [OGC@calbar.ca.gov](mailto:OGC@calbar.ca.gov)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	5
II.	BACKGROUND .....	7
	A. The Supreme Court’s Order Setting the Raw Passing Score and Scoring Adjustments for the February 2025 Bar Examination .....	7
	B. The CBE and Board’s Approval of Additional Non-Scoring Remedies for the February 2025 Bar Examination.....	8
	C. The CBE’s Recommendation to Extend the November Bar Examination Study Scoring Adjustment to the 2026 Bar Examination Administrations .....	11
III.	AUTHORITY TO APPROVE NON-SCORING REMEDIES .....	13
	A. Provisional Licensure Program.....	14
	B. Admission on Motion for Out-of-State Attorneys .....	16
	C. Special Admissions Rules .....	17
	D. Extension of the November Bar Examination Study Scoring Adjustment .....	18
IV.	RECOMMENDED REMEDIES FOR THE FEBRUARY 2025 CALIFORNIA BAR EXAMINATION FOR THIS COURT’S APPROVAL.....	19
	A. Provisional Licensure Program.....	19
	B. Admission Pathways for Out-of-State Attorneys.....	22
	C. Extension of the November Bar Examination Study Scoring Adjustment .....	24
V.	CONCLUSION.....	25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>In re Attorney Discipline</i> (1998) 19 Cal.4th 582.....	12, 13, 15, 16
<i>Obrien v. Jones</i> (2000) 23 Cal.4th 40.....	12

### Statutes

Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6062.....	15, 21, 22, 23
--------------------------------	----------------

### Rules

Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.3.....	13
Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.41.1.....	18
Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.49.....	passim
Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.49.1.....	15
Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.5.....	17
Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.6.....	18, 24
Cal. Rules of Court, rules 9.40–9.49.1.....	24
Rules of the State Bar, tit. 3, Div. 3.....	24
State Bar Rule 4.41.....	22

### Other Authorities

1 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (4th ed. 1996) Attorneys, § 356, p. 438.....	14
1 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (4th ed. 1996) Attorneys, § 356, p. 438.....	14

**Bills**

2025 Assem. Bill No. 1522 (2025–2026 Reg. Sess.)  
as introduced Mar. 18, 2025..... 23

Sen. Amend. to Assem. Bill No. 1522  
(2025–2026 Reg. Sess) May 15, 2025..... 23

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA**

**REQUEST THAT THE SUPREME COURT APPROVE  
ADDITIONAL REMEDIES RELATING TO THE  
FEBRUARY 2025 CALIFORNIA BAR EXAMINATION**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

During and following the February 2025 bar examination, the State Bar received widespread reports of technological and other issues impacting test takers. In consideration of the reported experiences of test takers, available test-taker performance data, and the challenges associated with making individualized assessments for applicants, the Committee of Bar Examiners (CBE) at its April 18, 2025, meeting, recommended a raw passing score of 534 for the February 2025 bar examination, and imputation of scores for test takers who had missing examination answers and met specific criteria. This Court adopted those recommendations on May 2, 2025.

In addition to recommending the raw score and imputation of scores, on March 14, 2025, the CBE recommended that the State Bar's Board of Trustees (Board) seek this Court's approval to expand the original Provisional Licensure Program set forth in rule 9.49 of the California Rules of Court to include individuals

who took or withdrew from the February 2025 bar examination. The Board considered that recommendation at its April 2, 2025, meeting, but ultimately requested that the CBE consider a full range of remediation options after the February 2025 bar examination was graded. On May 5, 2025, after the results of the February 2025 bar examination were released, the CBE considered non-scoring remediation measures in connection with the February 2025 bar examination and recommended extending and expanding the original Provisional Licensure Program codified in rule 9.49 of the California Rules of Court to include applicants who did not pass or withdrew from the examination, and creating a pathway to licensure for attorneys licensed in other states, if there is a statutory amendment allowing such a pathway, as well as a special admissions program for out-of-state attorneys in the interim.

The CBE also directed State Bar staff to request that this Court allow the scaled score adjustment for participation in the November bar examination study to be applied to the multiple-choice portion of the General Bar Examination on whichever of the 2025 or 2026 administrations an applicant attempts first.

On May 9, 2025, the Board approved advancing the CBE-recommended non-scoring remedial measures to this Court and supported the CBE's decision to seek this Court's approval to allow the scaled score adjustment for participation in the November bar examination study to be applied to multiple-choice portion of the General Bar Examination, for whichever of the 2025 or 2026 administrations the applicant attempts first. This petition seeks approval of those recommendations.

## **II. BACKGROUND**

### **A. The Supreme Court's Order Setting the Raw Passing Score and Scoring Adjustments for the February 2025 Bar Examination**

Following the February 2025 bar examination, the CBE reviewed and considered the reported experiences of test takers, available test-taker performance data, and the challenges associated with fairly and accurately categorizing the level of disruption experienced by individual test takers. On April 18, 2025, the CBE adopted a resolution recommending, as remediation measures, psychometrically imputing scores for eligible test takers and setting a raw passing score of 534 for the February 2025 bar examination. (Appendix of Exhibits (AE), Ex. 1 [CBE Resolution, dated April 18, 2025] at pp. 4–6.) This Court

approved the raw passing score and imputation through Administrative Order 2025-05-02, filed on May 2, 2025. (Ex. 2 [Administrative Order 2025-05-02, Case No. S290627] at pp. 8–9.)

After the State Bar applied the scoring remedies approved by this Court for the February 2025 bar examination, the overall pass rate for test takers was 57.6%. (Ex. 14 [Pass Rates for the Past Ten Years by Population Type] at pp. 82–84.)<sup>1</sup> Consistent with the Board’s directive, once scores for the examination were released, the CBE considered and recommended non-scoring remedies for test-takers who did not pass the examination, and the Board approved the recommendations set forth below.

**B. The CBE and Board’s Approval of Additional Non-Scoring Remedies for the February 2025 Bar Examination**

During the course of and immediately following the February 2025 bar examination, the State Bar, the CBE, and the Board received a significant amount of feedback from test takers

---

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit 14 was presented to the Board during its May 9, 2025, meeting. Once the final exam statistics for the February 2025 bar examination are complete, they will be published online at <https://www.calbar.ca.gov/Admissions/Examinations/Exam-Statistics>.

regarding their experience with taking the examination. On March 14, 2025, the CBE convened for its first meeting following the administration of the examination. At that meeting, the CBE recommended to the Board that the Supreme Court expand the original Provisional Licensure Program to include test takers who took or withdrew from the February 2025 bar examination. Ex. 3 [CBE Resolution, dated March 14, 2025] at pp. 11–12.) The Board considered the recommendation during its April 2, 2025, meeting, but elected to postpone consideration of that recommendation and requested that the CBE consider a full range of remediation options after the grading of the February 2025 bar examination was complete. (Ex. 4 [Board Resolution, dated April 2, 2025] at p. 14.)

After this Court approved the raw passing score and scoring imputation for the February 2025 bar examination, the CBE met on May 5, 2025, following the release of the examination results, to consider non-scoring remedial measures for test takers who were unsuccessful or withdrew from the February 2025 bar examination. The CBE ultimately resolved to recommend three non-scoring remedial measures:

1. Expanding and extending the Provisional Licensure Program set forth in rule 9.49 of the California Rule of Court to include February 2025 bar examination test takers who were unsuccessful on or withdrew from the exam. The CBE recommended that the program end two years after rule 9.49 of the California Rules of Court is amended and the program launches, or December 31, 2027, whichever is later. The CBE also recommended that current participants (2020 law school graduates) be permitted to continue participating in the program until the new end date of the program. The CBE recommended that the cost of the program should be covered by fees charged to participants.

2. A special admissions process for out-of-state attorneys, that would allow participants to practice law in California.

3. Admission on motion for attorneys who are licensed in good standing in other United States jurisdictions without passing a bar examination, if statutory amendments are made permitting admission without an examination. (Ex. 5 [CBE Resolution, dated May 5, 2025] at pp. 16–18.)

On May 9, 2025, the Board approved advancing these non-scoring remediation measures to this Court. (Ex. 6 [Board Resolution, dated May 9, 2025] at p. 20.)<sup>2</sup>

**C. The CBE’s Recommendation to Extend the November Bar Examination Study Scoring Adjustment to the 2026 Bar Examination Administrations**

On September 9, 2024, the State Bar filed a petition with this Court requesting implementation of a scoring adjustment on the 2025 bar examination administrations as an incentive for a bar examination study that was scheduled for November 2024. This Court issued an order on October 22, 2024, permitting a scaled score adjustment on the multiple-choice portion of the General Bar Examination on whichever of the 2025 administrations of the General Bar Examination a test taker

---

<sup>2</sup> In addition to advancing the CBE’s recommendations, the Board asked the CBE to convene to consider whether further adjustments are appropriate in relation to the February 2025 bar examination. (Ex. 13 [Board Open Session Minutes: May 9, 2025, approved on May 22, 2025] at p. 78.) Specifically, the Board asked the CBE to consider: (1) whether instead of averaging the first- and second-read scores, the CBE should adopt the higher of the two scores for each question; (2) whether there should be an appeal process for those test takers who were near the pass line; and (3) whether to permit test takers to retake only the performance test in July 2025, and apply that score to the test taker’s February 2025 bar examination results. (*Ibid.*) The CBE is meeting on May 30, 2025, to consider those potential changes.

attempted first, if they met a minimum threshold of performance, as determined by the CBE. (Ex. 7 [Administrative Order 2025-05-02, Case No. S286827] at pp. 23–24.)

Pursuant to the order, during its April 18, 2025, meeting, the CBE established a minimum threshold of performance of 28 correct questions out of a total of 49 and determined that those who met that threshold of performance would receive a scaled score adjustment of 40 points on the multiple-choice section of the General Bar Examination. (Ex. 8 [CBE Resolution, dated April 18, 2025, item 3.7] at pp. 26–27.)

In addition to the non-scoring remedial measures discussed above, during its May 5, 2025, meeting, the CBE also resolved to direct State Bar staff to request that this Court allow the scaled score adjustment for participation in the November bar examination study to be applied to the multiple-choice portion of the General Bar Examination on whichever of the 2025 or 2026 administrations of the General Bar Examination eligible participants attempt first. (Ex. 5 at p. 18.) This would allow test takers to delay taking the bar examination until a later date (i.e.,

in 2026 instead of in 2025), while still receiving the benefit of the scoring adjustment.<sup>3</sup>

### **III. AUTHORITY TO APPROVE NON-SCORING REMEDIES**

The Court has inherent and primary constitutional authority over attorney admissions and the practice of law in California. (*In re Attorney Discipline* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 582, 592; see also Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.3 [“The Supreme Court has the inherent power to admit persons to practice law in California.”].) It is well established that the power to license and discipline licensed attorneys in California is an expressly reserved, primary and inherent power of the Supreme Court. (*Obrien v. Jones* (2000) 23 Cal.4th 40, 48; *In re Attorney Discipline System, supra*, 19 Cal.4th at p. 592.)

In discussing this inherent authority, this Court has noted that “[t]he important difference between regulation of the legal

---

<sup>3</sup> In February 2025, the Board approved a fee waiver for individuals who withdrew from or did not pass the February 2025 bar examination for the July 2025 bar examination. On May 9, 2025, the Board extended that fee waiver to apply to the first examination an applicant sits for in 2025 or 2026. (Ex. 13 at p. 77.) This proposal would align the availability of the scoring adjustment with the time frame in which the fee waiver is available.

profession and regulation of other professions is this: Admission to the bar is a *judicial function*, and members of the bar are *officers of the court*, subject to discipline by the court. Hence, under the constitutional doctrine of separation of powers, the court has the inherent and primary regulatory power.” (*In re Attorney Discipline, supra*, 19 Cal.4th at p. 593, citing 1 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (4th ed. 1996) Attorneys, § 356, p. 438, original italics.)

In exercising its inherent authority over attorney admissions, this Court has authorized the Provisional Licensure Program, which provides a limited license for eligible individuals to practice law under the supervision of a licensed attorney. Additionally, the Court permits special admissions programs for out-of-state attorneys who meet specified criteria. As such, this Court may expand these programs consistent with its inherent authority over attorney admissions and the practice of law in this State.

#### **A. Provisional Licensure Program**

In response to the challenges faced by law students during the COVID-19 pandemic, this Court, pursuant to its inherent authority over attorney admissions and the practice of law,

directed the State Bar “to implement, as soon as possible, a temporary supervised Provisional Licensure Program – a limited license to practice specified areas of law under the supervision of a licensed attorney.” (Ex. 9 [July 16, 2020, Supreme Court Letter Regarding Provisional Licensure Program] at pp. 29–31.) On October 22, 2020, this Court approved rule 9.49 of the California Rules of Court, implementing the program beginning November 17, 2020. (Ex. 10 [Administrative Order 2020-10-21-01, Case No. S264917] at pp. 33–40.)

On January 12, 2021, the State Bar filed a request to expand the program and for amendments to California Rules of Court, rule 9.49. On January 28, 2021, this Court issued an order approving expansion of the Provisional Licensure Program and adoption of rule 9.49.1 of the California Rules of Court, which provided a pathway to licensure for individuals who had scored between 1390 and 1439 on a bar examination administered between July 2015 and February 2020, as well as amendments to rule 9.49 of the California Rules of Court. (Ex. 11 [Administrative Order 2021-01-20, Case No. S266547] at pp. 42–54.)

The Provisional Licensure Program was originally scheduled to sunset in June 2022, but the program has been

extended twice, including most recently by this Court's order filed on December 23, 2022. (Ex. 12 [Administrative Order 2022-12-21, Case No. S277623] at pp. 56–69.)

The Court may adopt amendments to the Provisional Licensure Program pursuant to its inherent authority over admissions and the practice of law in this State.

**B. Admission on Motion for Out-of-State Attorneys**

While this Court has inherent and primary constitutional authority over attorney admissions and the practice of law, the Legislature may enact statutes relating to the minimum qualifications required for the practice of law. (See *In re Attorney Discipline, supra*, 19 Cal.4th at p. 602.) Currently, attorneys who are licensed in another state must pass either the General Bar Examination or the Attorneys' Examination to become licensed in California. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6062, subd. (a)(3).)

In the event the Legislature makes statutory amendments permitting out-of-state licensees to obtain licensure in California without passing a bar examination, this Court could, pursuant to its inherent power over admission to practice and role as final policy maker, adopt admission on motion for such individuals,

provided that they met any other minimum requirements set by the Legislature. As this Court has recognized:

Legislative regulations regarding the qualifications of attorneys are, at best, but minimum standards unless the courts themselves are satisfied that such qualifications as prescribed by legislative enactment are sufficient . . . In other words, the courts in the exercise of their inherent power may demand more than the legislature has required . . . [W]hen the matter at issue involves minimum standards for engaging in the practice of law, it is this court and not the Legislature which is the final policy maker.

(*In re Attorney Discipline, supra*, 19 Cal.4th at p. 602.) While there is currently a statutory bar to allowing admission on motion for out-of-state attorneys, should that bar be removed, this Court could set standards for admission for out-of-state attorneys.<sup>4</sup>

### **C. Special Admissions Rules**

Pursuant to rule 9.5 of the California Rules of Court, all rules “adopted by the State Bar Committee of Bar Examiners pertaining to the admission to practice law must be approved by

---

<sup>4</sup> As discussed in Section IV.B., *post*, the Legislature recently considered but then removed language from an assembly bill that, if adopted, could have allowed for implementation of admission on motion or reciprocity in certain circumstances. At this time there are no statutory amendments pending which would broadly allow for admission on motion or reciprocity for out-of-state attorneys.

the Board of Trustees and then submitted to the Supreme Court for its review and approval.” While the CBE and Board have not approved and adopted language for a new special admissions rule, both the CBE and Board recommend that this Court direct the State Bar to develop and implement an expedited special admissions process for attorneys who are licensed and in good standing in other United States jurisdictions and failed or withdrew from the February 2025 California Bar Examination. The staff report to the Board indicated that such a program could be modeled after the existing Registered Military Spouse Attorney Program. (Ex. 15 [Board Staff Report for Agenda Item 3.2, dated May 9, 2025] at p. 90; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.41.1.) In the event the Court directs the State Bar to develop such a rule, the State Bar will seek this Court’s approval once a proposal is developed.

**D. Extension of the November Bar Examination Study Scoring Adjustment**

Under California Rules of Court, rule 9.6(a), the CBE is responsible for determining the California Bar Examination’s format, scope, topics, content, and grading process “subject to review and approval by the Supreme Court.” The CBE has

approved extending the November bar examination study scoring adjustment to apply to whichever of the 2025 or 2026 bar examination eligible individuals first take, and the Board also supports the extension. (Ex. 5 at p. 18; Ex. 13 at p. 77.)

Consistent with those recommendations, the State Bar seeks this Court's approval of that extension. This Court's approval is necessary because the existing order only permits the scoring adjustment to apply to the 2025 administrations of the bar examination. (Ex. 7 at pp. 23–24.)

#### **IV. RECOMMENDED REMEDIES FOR THE FEBRUARY 2025 CALIFORNIA BAR EXAMINATION FOR THIS COURT'S APPROVAL**

##### **A. Provisional Licensure Program**

The CBE and Board recommend that this Court approve an expansion and extension of the Provisional Licensure Program set forth in California Rules of Court, rule 9.49. Currently, only 2020 law school graduates who were admitted to the program prior to December 31, 2022, and met all requirements except receiving a passing score on the California Bar Examination, are eligible to participate in the Provisional Licensure Program set forth in California Rules of Court, rule 9.49, and that program is set to terminate on December 31, 2025. Currently, there are 71

participants remaining in the program. The CBE and Board recommend expanding the Provisional Licensure Program to allow all individuals who either did not pass or withdrew from the February 2025 bar examination to participate in the program. Additionally, the CBE and Board recommended extending the end date to December 31, 2027, or two years after implementation, whichever date is later and that the new end date would apply to current participants (i.e., the remaining 2020 law graduates) as well. (Ex. 5 at pp. 16–17; Ex. 6 at p. 20.) If this recommendation is adopted, approximately 3,340 individuals, including individuals who withdrew from and individuals who took but did not pass the February 2025 bar examination would be eligible to apply to participate in the expanded program.<sup>5</sup>

As described in the materials presented to the Board, the Provisional Licensure Program participants have had lower complaint, investigation, and charge rates than the active-attorney population as a whole. (Ex. 15 at pp. 89–90.) To date,

---

<sup>5</sup> Approximately 1,485 individuals withdrew from the examination, approximately 1,780 individuals failed the examination, and approximately 73 individuals either did not appear for the examination or only completed part of the examination.

only one Provisionally Licensed Lawyer has reached the pre-filing stage, and none has been disciplined. (*Ibid.*)

Staff recommended that, in the event the Provisional Licensure Program is expanded, that the program requirements, other than the fee, remain unchanged to expedite implementation. (Ex. 15 at p. 89.) The expansion and extension of the Provisional Licensure Program will require additional State Bar resources, and the CBE and the Board recommend that the Court permit the State Bar to set an appropriate application fee for Provisional Licensure Program participants to cover the cost of implementing and administering the program. Rule 9.49 of the California Rules of Court currently sets a nominal application fee, and no renewal fee for participation in the program. Increasing the fee to meet the costs of operating the program is essential for the State Bar to be able to effectively implement the program. The State Bar anticipates that if the Court approves this recommendation, it will take approximately three months to

hire staff and launch the program once the revised rules are adopted.<sup>6</sup>

Due to the compelling circumstances arising out of the February 2025 bar examination, the State Bar is advancing recommended remedies to this Court. Should this Court adopt the recommendations to expand and extend the Provisional Licensure Program, this Court, through the exercise of its inherent authority over attorney admissions, may amend rule 9.49 of the California Rules of Court to effectuate such modifications.

## **B. Admission Pathways for Out-of-State Attorneys**

As set forth above, currently Business and Professions Code section 6062 requires out-of-state attorneys to take and pass either the General Bar Examination or the Attorneys' Examination. During this Legislative session, AB 1522 proposed

---

<sup>6</sup> On April 23, 2025, this Court approved changes to the moral character rules that take effect on June 23, 2025. The new rules will permit attorneys who are licensed in other states to submit an application for determination of moral character, which is prohibited under existing the existing rule. (State Bar Rule 4.41, subd. (A).) Rule 9.49 of the California Rules of Court permits individuals to participate in the Provisional Licensure Program if they have submitted an application for determination of moral character. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.49, subd. (d)(1)(B).)

an amendment to Business and Professions Code section 6062, which would have removed the examination requirement for out-of-state attorneys. (2025 Assem. Bill No. 1522 (2025–2026 Reg. Sess.) as introduced Mar. 18, 2025.) The CBE and Board recommended that if such an amendment was passed by the Legislature, the Court adopt a process for attorneys who are licensed and in good standing in other states to be admitted on motion. (Ex. 5 at p. 17; Ex. 6 at p. 20.) Since the CBE and Board took that action, Assembly Bill 1522 has been amended, and the current version no longer contains the amendments that would allow for licensure without sitting for the General Bar Examination or the Attorneys’ Examination. (Sen. Amend. to Assem. Bill No. 1522 (2025–2026 Reg. Sess.) May 15, 2025.) Nonetheless, the CBE and Board have recommended adoption of this process in the event Business and Professions Code section 6062 is amended in the future.

The CBE and Board also recommend adoption of a special admissions process for attorneys who are licensed and in good standing in other United States jurisdictions who either took or withdrew from the February 2025 bar examination. (Ex. 5 at p. 17; Ex. 6 at p. 20.) The California Rules of Court currently

include several special admissions and limited practice options for individuals who are not licensees of the State Bar of California. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 9.40–9.49.1.) These programs are also governed by State Bar Rules. (Rules of the State Bar, tit. 3, Div. 3.) Creating a new program would not violate California Business and Professions Code section 6062 because it would not result in full licensure, but rather would provide an avenue for attorneys who are licensed and in good standing in other United States jurisdictions, and who took or withdrew from the February 2025 bar examination to practice under specified parameters. The Court may elect to exercise its inherent authority over admissions matters and the practice of law to implement this program by adopting a new Rule of Court. Alternatively, the Court could direct the State Bar to develop proposed rules for this Court’s consideration.

**C. Extension of the November Bar Examination Study Scoring Adjustment**

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 9.6 subdivision (a), the CBE seeks this Court’s approval of its recommendation to allow eligible participants to apply the November bar examination scoring adjustment to whichever of the 2025 or 2026

bar examination they take first. (Ex. 5 at p. 18.) Approximately 1,900 individuals met the minimum threshold of performance to receive the scoring adjustment and approximately 530 of those individuals received the scoring adjustment on the February 2025 bar examination. A significant number of applicants withdrew from the February 2025 bar examination, and approximately 1,350 individuals remain eligible to have a scoring adjustment applied on a future examination. Extending the application of the scoring adjustment will allow test takers flexibility to take the examination in 2026 and still benefit from the scoring adjustment if they are unable to take the July 2025 administration.

## **V. CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, in accordance with the CBE's recommendations at its May 5, 2025, meeting, and the Board's approval of those recommendations at its May 9, 2025, meeting, the State Bar respectfully requests that the Court issue an administrative order that (1) expands and extends the Provisional Licensure Program, (2) adopts an expedited special admissions process for attorneys licensed and in good standing in other United States jurisdictions, and (3) allows eligible test

takers to apply the November bar examination study scoring adjustment to 2026 administrations of the bar examination. The State Bar also respectfully requests that the Court approve admission on motion for out-of-state attorneys in the event that statutory amendments are enacted allowing such action.

Dated: May 22, 2025      Respectfully submitted,

ELLIN DAVTYAN  
KIRSTEN GALLER  
JEAN KRASILNIKOFF

By: /s/ ELLIN DAVTYAN  
ELLIN DAVTYAN

General Counsel  
Office of General Counsel  
The State Bar of California